

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/085,052 | 03/01/2002 | Tatsuhiro Okada | 0234-0442P | 8158 |
| 2292 7 | 590 01/13/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| BIRCH STEV PO BOX 747 | WART KOLASCH & | DOVE, TRACY MAE | | |
| | CH, VA 22040-0747 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | • | | 1745 | |

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | _ 00 | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
| Advisory Action | 10/085,052 | OKADA ET AL. | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Tracy Dove | 1745 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2004 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper repich places the application | oly to a cation in | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe attention under 37 CFR 1, sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in | of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Solution 136(a) and the appropriate extended the final Office action; or | e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | , | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search | (see NOTE below); | | |
| (b) \(\text{ \infty} \) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | below); | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | terially reducing or s | simplifying the | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected clair | ns. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): See Continuation Shee | <u>t</u> . | • | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely filed | d amendment | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NC | OT place the | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | re newly | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | and an | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-21. | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | |
| 10. ☐ Other: | , | | | |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claims 11 and 21 would require further consideration and/or search. The amendment to claims 1 and 13 raise the issue of new matter. The specification does not appear to support an operating temperature of 100C or less. The specification states "the fuel cell of the present invention has high output density and low operating temperature of as low as 100C" (page 12, lines 14-15). The specification supports an operating temperature of 100C or greater (not 100C or less).

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The objections and 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections of the claimed invention contained in the final rejection have been overcome by the proposed amendment.

Tracy Dove

Patent Examiner 1745 January 10, 2005